

Applicant: Kaisa Putkisto et al.

Application No.: 10/507,417

Response to examiner's telephone communication of Sep. 5, 2006

Response filed Sep. 5, 2006

### **Remarks**

Claims 5–16 remain pending in the application.

In a telephone conference between examiner Parker and applicant's counsel on Sep. 5, 2006, Examiner Parker requested a clarifying amendment to more clearly indicate that upstream and downstream referred to the motion of the web, not the motion of the particles of dry powder.

Claims 5 and 8 have been amended to include in the preamble a definition of upstream and downstream as is already present in claims 11 and 14. All independent claims were further amended to render them more definite by referring to the upstream direction and the downstream direction. Claim 11 has been amended to refer to the "web" throughout. Claim 14 has been amended to refer to the "paper or board web" throughout.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance.

Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J.G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934

Attorney for Applicant

Stiennon & Stiennon

P.O. Box 1667

Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667

(608) 250-4870

amendt4.res/amdt